Cyclin–Dependent Protein Kinases and Their Regulators in Plants
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Abstract

A unique feature of plant cell cycle control is that quiescent meristematic and differentiated cells of plants are capable of re-entering the cell cycle. The activation of cell division and transitions between different phases of cell cycle are controlled by a family of cyclin−dependent serine/threonine protein kinases (CDKs). Post−translational regulation of CDKs plays an important role in the maintenance and activation of cell division, and thus controls plastic development of plant tissues. Here I review CDKs and cyclins in plants and describe the current view of CDK regulation by phosphorylation.

Introduction

The last few years have witnessed increased research interest into the mechanisms that control cell division in plants mainly due to the unique features of plant post−embryonic development; which is primarily controlled by cell division in meristems. Cell cycle is a sequential process that regulates division of eukaryotic cells, and the molecular mechanisms underlying the cell cycle are assumed to be well conserved from yeast to human. However, considering that plants need to accomplish a body plan throughout their life cycle in response to various internal and external signals, there should be some subtle differences from animal cells in the cell cycle machinery which controls the balance between cell division and differentiation.

In plants, quiescent meristematic and differentiated cells can re-enter the cell cycle (Fig. 1). For example, when Rhizobia induces the formation of root nodules on the roots of leguminous plants, the inner cortical cells, which are already differentiated, start to divide in response to nodulation (Nod) factors. In this case, the cortical cells are assumed to be arrested at the G1 phase, and re-enter the cell cycle with the stimulus of Nod factor (Yang et al., 1994). In contrast, the pericycle root cells are known to be arrested at the G2 phase, and they produce new primordia for lateral roots by starting cell division under normal developmental processes (Blakely and Evans, 1979). It is well known that lateral root formation is influenced by environmental conditions and stimulated by a phytohormone, auxin, suggesting the plasticity of plant cells in terms of cell division.

Studies in yeast, Drosophila and mammals have demonstrated that signaling pathways regulating cell cycle progression ultimately converge on the control of activity of cyclin−dependent serine/threonine protein kinases (CDKs) and their cyclin partners (Fig. 2). cDNAs encoding CDKs from many organisms have been isolated. A single major CDK has been identified in the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe (CDC2) and in the budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae (CDC28) (Hindley and Phear, 1984; Lörincz and Reed, 1984). However, the growing list of CDKs in human cells suggests that, during development, each CDK in metazoans
plays a specific role at a specific time in the cell cycle. Each CDK interacts with a specific subset of cyclins, and the size of this subset varies among CDKs. For example, CDC28 can associate with many different cyclins, whereas human CDC2 interacts with relatively few (for a review, see Nigg, 1995). In vertebrates, at least eight types of cyclins have been identified; including mitotic cyclins (cyclin A and B), G1 cyclins (cyclin D, E, G) and three groups of cyclin-related proteins (cyclin C, F, H). A short conserved amino acid sequence, PSTAIRE, in CDKs is responsible for the binding of cyclins, which activate CDKs by changing the conformation at the catalytic site (Jeffrey et al., 1995; Morgan, 1996). They also function in the targeting of CDKs to specific substrates or subcellular locations (Hoffmann et al., 1993; Peeper et al., 1993; Dynlacht et al., 1994).

The function of cyclins is regulated at a transcriptional level as well as by proteolytic pathways. It is well known that PEST sequences and destruction boxes mediate the degradation of cyclins during defined phases of the cell cycle or in response to internal or external signals. In yeast, the degradation of G1/S phase cyclins Cln1 and Cln2 is mediated by an E3 ubiquitin ligase complex consisting of the SKP1–Cdc53/cullin–Grp1 proteins (SCFGrp1) (for a review, see Tyers and Willums, 1999). The N-terminus of CDC53 binds to the SKP1 chaperonin/adapter protein which then interacts with F-box proteins that carry a cyclin-F homology domain. Grp1 is one of the F-box proteins that functions as a receptor by recruiting target cyclins to E2 ubiquitin carriers and E3 ubiquitin ligases. The SCF-complexes appear to be active throughout the cell cycle, although their activity seems to be controlled by post-translational modification.

The decision whether the cell cycle progresses or not is dependent on the signal controlling transition through the “restriction point” (Fig. 1). The retinoblastoma (Rb) proteins play an important role at this point, because once Rb proteins are hyperphosphorylated by CDK and cyclin D/E complexes, they are released from the transcription factor E2F which then induces the gene expression involved in transition from G1 to S phase (Dyson, 1998). Since the transcript level of cyclin is controlled by internal signals in animals, the restriction point is a timing at which CDKs communicate with environmental conditions and hormonal signals. On the other hand, the transition from G2 to M phase is tightly regulated by phosphorylation of Cdc2. The inhibitory phosphorylation of Cdc2 results in retention of cells at the G2 phase, and dephosphorylation of specific amino acid residues induces the sequential process of mitosis (Fig. 1). Therefore, post-translational regulation of CDKs is essential for a proper operation of cell cycle in both G1 and G2 phases.

**Cyclin-dependent protein kinases in plants**

As in animals, plants also express various types of CDK, and multiple genes for CDKs have been found in *Arabidopsis* (Ferreira et al., 1991; Hira-
yama et al., 1991), alfalfa (Hirt et al., 1991, 1993), rice (Hata, 1991; Hashimoto et al., 1992; Kidou et al., 1994), soybean (Miao et al., 1993), maize (Colasanti et al., 1991), and *Antirrhinum* (Fobert et al., 1994). Recently, Fobert et al. (1996) isolated
four *cdc2*-related genes from *Antirrhinum* and Magyar et al. (1997) described four homologs of *cdc2* in alfalfa, in addition to *cdc2MsA* and *cdc2MsB* that had been isolated previously (Hirt et al., 1991, 1993). These findings suggest that the division of plant cells might be regulated by different sets of CDK/cyclin pairs at each stage of the cell cycle, and that division is not controlled by a single major CDK as in the case of yeast (Doerner, 1994; Ferreira et al., 1994b; Murray, 1994; Doonan and Fobert, 1997).

Genes for four different CDKs have been isolated from rice: *cdc20s1*, *cdc20s2* (Hashimoto et al., 1992) and *cdc20s3* (Kidou et al., 1994; Umeda et al., 1999b) are homologs of *cdc2*; and R2 (Hata, 1991), which is similar to the gene for a CDK-activating kinase (CAK) that will be described below. The deduced amino acid sequence of Cdc20s3 showed that it is distinct from proteins in the CDC2/CDC28 family (Kidou et al., 1994), while *cdc20s1* and *cdc20s2* are closely related to the homologs of *cdc2* that have been isolated from various organisms (Hashimoto et al., 1992). Cdc20s3 has a PSTAIRE domain that is not conserved in amino acid sequence, as shown in Table 1. Other CDKs carrying non-PSTAIRE sequences have also been reported in *Antirrhinum*, alfalfa and *Arabidopsis* (Fobert et al., 1996; Magyar et al., 1997; Segers et al., 1996). One of the features of these non-PSTAIRE CDKs is that they are unable to complement the *cdc2* mutation when expressed in temperature-sensitive mutant of budding yeast. In contrast, the PSTAIRE CDKs could at least partially complement the mutation, thus they are functionally homologous to yeast CDC28 (Ferreira et al., 1991; Hirayama et al., 1991; Hashimoto et al., 1992; Hirt et al., 1991, 1993; Fobert et al., 1996; Colasanti et al., 1991; Miao et al., 1993). Since the PSTAIRE CDKs are assumed to form active CDK/cyclin complexes in yeast cells, one can speculate that non-PSTAIRE CDKs might have distinct functions in the cell cycle and form active complexes with particular cyclins specific to plants. Indeed, plants have several specific subclasses of cyclins as discussed below.

### Expression of plant CDKs during the cell cycle

The most striking difference between PSTAIRE and non-PSTAIRE CDKs is the expression pattern during the cell cycle. A consensus has recently emerged indicating that every PSTAIRE-type CDK shows a constitutive expression throughout the cell cycle, indicating that they function by interacting with different cyclins in each phase of the cell cycle (Table 1). This supports the notion that PSTAIRE CDKs are functional homologs of CDC28, which is the sole CDK that directly controls the cell cycle in budding yeast. On the other hand, non-PSTAIRE CDKs are classified into three groups based on the expression pattern. The first group consists of CDKs that show constitutive transcript levels and are distantly related to yeast CDC2/CDC28 based on amino acid sequence (Table 1). For example, rice R2 is a homolog of CDK-activating kinase (Hata, 1991), Alfalfa Cdc2MsC has 53% identity with the human cholinesterase–related cell division control protein (CHED), and Alfalfa Cdc2MsE showed only 33 to 40% identity with plant and animal Cdc2 and CDK kinases (Magyar et al., 1997). The other two groups of non-PSTAIRE CDKs are distinct from other CDKs because their transcripts accumulate at a particular phase of the cell cycle, namely during the G2 to M phase or during the S to M phase (Table 1).

Magyar et al. (1997) examined the expression period of Alfalfa CDKs by using synchronized suspension cultured cells. The results showed that

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1.</th>
<th>Amino acid sequences in the PSTAIRE region of plant CDKs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A) CDKs expressed throughout the cell cycle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) PSTAIRE type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cdc20s1* EGV&lt;sub&gt;P&lt;/sub&gt;STAIREI&lt;sub&gt;S&lt;/sub&gt;LLLKE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) non-PSTAIRE type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2 EGV&lt;sub&gt;N&lt;/sub&gt;TAIREIK&lt;sub&gt;L&lt;/sub&gt;LLKE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cdc2MsC EGF&lt;sub&gt;P&lt;/sub&gt;TAIREIK&lt;sub&gt;L&lt;/sub&gt;KK</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cdc2MsE DGVS&lt;sub&gt;P&lt;/sub&gt;TAIREIM&lt;sub&gt;L&lt;/sub&gt;LLRE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B) CDKs expressed during the S to M phase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AmCdc2c EGV&lt;sub&gt;P&lt;/sub&gt;PTALREV&lt;sub&gt;S&lt;/sub&gt;LLLQM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cdc2MsD EGV&lt;sub&gt;P&lt;/sub&gt;PTALREV&lt;sub&gt;S&lt;/sub&gt;LLLQM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cdc2bAt EGIP&lt;sub&gt;T&lt;/sub&gt;ALREV&lt;sub&gt;S&lt;/sub&gt;LLLQ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) CDKs expressed during the G2 to M phase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cdc20s3 EGV&lt;sub&gt;P&lt;/sub&gt;PTALREV&lt;sub&gt;S&lt;/sub&gt;LLRM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AmCdc2d EGV&lt;sub&gt;P&lt;/sub&gt;PTTLREV&lt;sub&gt;S&lt;/sub&gt;LLRM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cdc2MsF EGVP&lt;sub&gt;T&lt;/sub&gt;TREV&lt;sub&gt;S&lt;/sub&gt;LLRM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Cdc20s2, AmCdc2a, AmCdc2b, Cdc2MsA, Cdc2MsB and Cdc2bAt have identical sequence in the corresponding region.
transcripts of cdc2MsD were abundant at the G2/M phase and were also detected just after alfalfa cells were released from arrest by aphidicolin, indicating that cdc2MsD was expressed for a longer period during S to M phase. In contrast, mRNA of cdc2MsF was detected only from the G2 to the M phase. Similarly, several CDKs from other plants can be classified into those with expression pattern specified for G2→M phase or S→M phase (Table 1). Unlike in Alfalfa, synchronization of rice or Antirrhinum cells is difficult because of the low efficiency of synchronization. In such cases, in situ hybridization of meristematic tissues is a powerful tool for studies of cell cycle-dependent expression of genes (Fobert et al., 1994, 1996; Kouchi et al., 1995; Umeda et al., 1999a, b).

We have recently analyzed the levels of transcript of genes for rice CDKs by in situ hybridization of root sections (Umeda et al., 1999b). While transcripts of cdc20s1, cdc20s2 and R2 were detected uniformly in dividing cells of roots, the distribution of cdc20s3 showed a patchy pattern in the dividing region of the root apex. The same pattern was also observed in the region near the shoot meristem and in the primordia for lateral root formation. Counterstaining of sections with DAPI indicated that almost all cells with mitotic nuclei contained cdc20s3 transcripts, while cells forming cell plates had trace levels of the transcripts. In double-labeling experiments with probes specific for transcripts of a gene for histone H4 and cdc20s3, signals did not overlap, an indication that expression of cdc20s3 did not extend to the S phase. Thus, transcripts of cdc20s3 appeared to be abundant from the G2 to M phase but almost disappeared when cells had completed mitosis at telophase. These results suggested that the Cdc20s3 protein might function in mitosis.

Rice cdc20s3 encodes a PPTALRE sequence which is similar to those of Antirrhinum AmCdc2e, Alfalfa Cdc2MsD and Arabidopsis Cdc2bAt (Table 1). However, when Cdc20s3 was compared with the other non-PSTAIRE CDKs in the whole region, it was located close to Antirrhinum Amcdc2d and Alfalfa Cdc2MsF rather than to AmCdc2e, Cdc2MsD or Cdc2bAt. Transcripts of cdc2s belonging to the group including cdc20s3 were abundant from the G2 to the M phase. On the other hand, Amcdc2e is expressed from mid S phase to early M phase (Fobert et al., 1996), and cdc2bAt is preferentially expressed during the S and G2 phases (Segers et al., 1996). As discussed above, transcripts of cdc2MsD are abundant at the G2/M phase (Magyar et al., 1997). Therefore, we propose that the products of cdc20s3, Amcdc2d and Cdc2MsF form a distinct subclass of non-PSTAIRE CDKs which are differentially expressed from the G2 to the M phase (Table 1). Our results also indicated that domains other than the PSTAIRE region are important for the function of non-PSTAIRE CDKs in distinct cell cycle phases.

Plant mitotic cyclins and their expression patterns

A- and B-type cyclins are mitotic cyclins required for progression from the G2 to M phase. A common feature between these two types of cyclins is that they have a central region encompassing approximately 250 amino acids, called the cyclin box (Nugent et al., 1991). The cyclin box is sufficient for binding to and activating CDKs (Brown et al., 1995; Jeffrey et al., 1995; Bazan, 1996). A- and B-type cyclins have another motif called the destruction box at the N-terminal region, which is composed of nine amino acids and is involved in the degradation of cyclins during mitosis (Glotzer et al., 1991). In plants, many cDNAs encoding mitotic cyclins have been isolated from various plant species (for a review, see Renaudin et al., 1998). Based on the amino acid similarity, A- and B-type cyclins have been further classified into small groups, namely CycA1–A3 and CycB1–B2 (Renaudin et al., 1996). The growing list of plant mitotic cyclins suggests that the progression from the G2 to M phase is controlled by CDKs in association with several types of cyclins.

Experiments using synchronized suspension cells and in situ hybridization with meristematic tissues indicated that expression patterns of plant mitotic cyclins are divergent. Tobacco CycA1 genes such as NtCycA19, NtCyc25 and NtCyc27 are known to be expressed from the mid or late S phase to M phase (Setiady et al., 1995; Reichheld et al., 1996). In contrast, rice cycA1;1 is preferentially expressed in a more restricted period during the G2 to M phase, and the transcripts almost disappear in the metaphase of mitosis (Umeda et al., 1999a). We have recently investigated the transcripts of two B2-type cyclins of rice, namely cycB2;1 and cycB2;2, by in situ hybridization and counterstaining with DAPI (Umeda et al., 1999a). About 56% of the cells expressing cycB2;1 showed mitotic nuclei, in which 10% were in anaphase represented by two daughter chromosomes. The proportion of cells in each phase of mitosis was almost the same between cycB2;1 and cycB2;2, suggesting that both cyclins were expressed until the end of mitosis. When counted for each mitotic phase, over 90% of the prophase and metaphase cells contained transcripts of
cycB2;1 and cycB2;2, while about 70% of the cells with two daughter chromosomes showed signals for their transcripts. Therefore, it seems that the transcript levels of these B2-type cyclins were reduced during the progression of the anaphase. These cyclin and cdc20s3 genes were expressed in a period overlapping with each other in the cell cycle. Therefore, Cdc20s3 may interact with such B2-type cyclins and be involved in the control of mitosis. In fact, we have recently demonstrated that Cdc20s3 specifically binds to one of the two B2-type cyclins in vitro (unpublished results).

Ferreira et al. (1994a) investigated whether the expression of Arabidopsis cycB1;1 is dependent on phytohormone action. The promoter of cycB1;1 was fused to β-glucuronidase gene, and the construct was transformed into tobacco cells. In protoplasts, an increase in cycB1;1 expression was observed when both auxin and cytokinin were included in the culture medium. However, treatment with either auxin or cytokinin did not cause any increase in the gene expression. This indicates that the expression of cycB1;1 is induced when cell division is activated, but is not responsive to phytohormones themselves. In general, transcripts of genes for mitotic cyclins are abundantly present in tissues with high cell division activity. Sauter et al. (1995) have shown that the transcript levels of rice cycB2;1 and cycB2;2 are increased by gibberellin treatment in the intercalary meristem of deepwater rice internodes. This suggests that induction of mitosis by gibberellin may induce a rapid growth of internodes under submergence conditions which in turn promotes ethylene synthesis and subsequent reduction and increase in the level of abscisic acid and gibberellin, respectively (Hoffmann-Benning and Kende, 1992).

Post-translational regulation of CDKs

It is known in yeast and animals that activation of CDKs is controlled by phosphorylation, as well as by binding to cyclins (for review, see Morgan, 1995). Most CDKs have a conserved phosphorylation site within the T-loop of kinase subdomain VIII. For example, residue 160 of human CDK2 is threonine and phosphorylation of this residue, which changes the structure of the T-loop, is required to allow substrates to gain access to the entrance of the active-site cleft (De Bondt et al., 1993; Fisher, 1997; Jeffrey et al., 1995; Morgan, 1996; Russo et al., 1996). Phosphorylation of the threonine residue is catalyzed by a CDK-activating kinase (CAK) (Fisher and Morgan, 1994; Labbé et al., 1994) (Fig. 2). In contrast, CDK activities are inhibited by phosphorylation of threonine 14 and tyrosine 15 by Wee1 and Myt1 kinases (Russell and Nurse, 1987; Lundgren et al., 1991; Parker et al., 1992; Mueller et al., 1995; Liu et al., 1997). These phosphorylation sites are buried beneath a loop structure, and cyclin binding induces a conformational change that makes these residues accessible for phosphorylation (De Bondt et al., 1993). It is well known that dephosphorylation of these sites by dual specificity phosphatase, Cdc25, activates CDKs and triggers the G2-to-M phase transition (Kumagai and Dunphy, 1991). Therefore, both CAK and Cdc25 are essentially required for the full activation of CDKs (Fig. 2). In addition, CDK activities are inhibited by interaction with CDK inhibitors (Fig. 2). The mammalian CDK inhibitors can be grouped into two families, p21\textsuperscript{CIP1}/p27\textsuperscript{KIP1} and INK4a (for a review, see Harper and Elledge, 1996).

Purification of CAKs from starfish, Xenopus and mammals identified a heterotrimeric complex composed of the catalytic subunit Cdk7/p40\textsuperscript{G2O5}5, the regulatory subunit cyclin H, and the assembly factor MAT1 (Devault et al., 1995; Fesquet et al., 1993; Fisher and Morgan, 1994; Fisher, et al., 1995; Mäkelä et al., 1994; Poon et al., 1993; Solomon et al., 1993) (Table 2). The Cdk7–cyclin H complex has also been identified in the general transcription factor TFIIH, which is involved both in RNA polymerase II-dependent transcription and in nucleotide–excision repair of DNA (Nigg, 1996; Roy et al., 1994; Shiekhattar et al., 1995; Serizawa et al., 1995; Seroz et al., 1995). Cdk7–cyclin H phosphorylates not only CDKs but also the carboxy-terminal domain (CTD) of the largest subunit of RNA polymerase II (Rossignol et al., 1997; Roy et al.,

| Table 2. Protein kinases regulating activation of CDKs and basal transcription. |
|--------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| **Arabidopsis** | **Rice** | **Vertebrates** | **Sch. pombe** | **S. cerevisiae** |
| Catalytic subunit | Cak1At | R2 | Cdk7/p40\textsuperscript{G2O5}5 | Crk1/Mop1 | Kin28 | Cak1/Civ1 |
| Cyclin subunit | ? | Cyclin H | Cyclin H | Mcs2 | Ce1 | None |
| Assembly subunit | ? | ? | MAT1 | ? | Rig2 | None |
| CAK activity | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes |
| CTD–kinase activity | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No |
1994; Shiekhattar et al., 1995; Serizawa et al., 1995; Seroz et al., 1995; Tassan et al., 1995; Yankulov and Bentley, 1997). Phosphorylation of the CTD is required for the release of RNA polymerase II from the preinitiation complex and the initiation of transcription (O’Brien et al., 1994; Yankulov et al., 1995, 1996). This indicates that Cdk7/p40\textsuperscript{K15} plays an additional role in transcription.

In Schizosaccharomyces pombe, Crk1/Mop1, which is closely related to Cdk7/p40\textsuperscript{K15}, associates with cyclin Mcs2 and phosphorylates both Cdc2 and CTD \emph{in vitro} (Buck et al., 1995; Damagnez et al., 1995) (Table 2). In contrast, in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Kin28, the closest relative to Cdk7/p40\textsuperscript{K15}, is a component of TFIIH that has CTD-kinase activity but does not exhibit the activity of a CDK-activating kinase (CAK) (Cisowski et al., 1995; Feaver et al., 1994) (Table 2). An additional protein kinase, designated Cak1/Civ1, has been identified that has CAK activity \emph{in vivo}, but it displays less than 25% sequence identity to its closest homologues (Espinoza et al., 1996; Kaldis et al., 1996; Thuret et al., 1996). Unlike vertebrate and fission yeast CAKs, Cak1/Civ1 is known to be active as monomer (Table 2). Therefore, in budding yeast two distinct protein kinases regulate the activation of CDKs and basal transcription. Recently, Larochelle et al. (1997) created null and temperature-sensitive mutations in the \textit{cdk7} gene of \textit{Drosophila} and demonstrated that Cdk7 is essential for mitosis and for CAK activity \emph{in vivo}. Therefore, it is possible that there might be a fundamental difference in terms of the functions of CAKs between metazoans (or fission yeast) and budding yeast.

**CDK-activating kinases in plants**

A cDNA encoding a CAK homolog of \textit{Arabidopsis} (cak1At) has been isolated from a cDNA expression library by complementation of a budding yeast cak mutant (Umeda \textit{et al.}, 1998). Although Cak1At shows the closest similarity to the Cdk7/p40\textsuperscript{K15} kinase family within specific domains, other CDK regions are less conserved in Cak1At which therefore cannot be classified as part of the group of CDC2/CDC28 and Cdk7/p40\textsuperscript{K15} kinases. We found that Cak1At is capable to rescue the temperature-sensitivity of both fission and budding yeast cak mutants. Since CAKs of budding and fission yeasts are completely different from each other as described above, \textit{Arabidopsis} Cak1At may function in a unique way in activation of CDKs. Unlike Cdk7/p40\textsuperscript{K15}, Cak1At could phosphorylate and activate human CDK2 \emph{in vitro}, but did not show any kinase activity on \textit{Arabidopsis} CTD (Umeda \textit{et al.}, 1998). Although this feature resembles that of budding yeast Cak1/Civ1, Cak1At showed no significant sequence similarity to Cak1/Civ1 (Table 2).

In rice, the amino acid sequence of CDK/CAK homolog R2 (Hata, 1991) is very similar to Kin28 of budding yeast (Table 2). In fact, R2 is included into the same cluster as Cdk7/p40\textsuperscript{K15} in the phylogenetic tree. Overexpression of R2 suppressed the cak mutation in budding yeast but not in fission yeast (Yamaguchi \textit{et al.}, 1998). R2 functions as a CAK to phosphorylate both CDK and CTD, as reported for CAKs of metazoans and fission yeast, thus it appears to be the first CTD-kinase identified in plants. We found the R2 protein mainly in protein complexes of approximately 190 kDa and 70 kDa. However, CDK2- and CTD-kinase activities were immunoprecipitated with R2 antibody in a complex of approximately 105 kDa. Therefore, it seems that R2 protein forms at least two major complexes of 70 kDa and 190 kDa, as well as a minor complex of 105 kDa which is able to phosphorylate CDK2 and CTD. We have recently identified a cyclin H homolog of rice plants (Yamaguchi \textit{et al.}, 2000). Since cyclin H stimulates the CAK activity of Cdk7/p40\textsuperscript{K15}, it is likely that the R2 complex of 105 kDa also contains a potentially active cyclin H (Fig. 3).

R2 phosphorylates rice Cdc20s1, while two other CDKs from rice, Cdc20s2 and Cdc20s3, are not phosphorylated (Yamaguchi \textit{et al.}, 1998). In human, CAK phosphorylates CDC2 which is associated with cyclin B but not CDC2 monomers \emph{in vitro}, whereas CDK2 is phosphorylated without binding of cyclins (Desai \textit{et al.}, 1995; Fisher and Morgan, 1994). Therefore, rice Cdc20s2 and Cdc20s3 might require their own cyclin partners before they can serve as substrates for R2. Preliminary results from our laboratories suggest that Cdc20s3 interacts with one of the two B2-type cyclins (unpublished results), thus Cdc20s3 may be activated by binding to B2-type cyclin(s), followed by phosphorylation with R2, during the G2–M phase (Fig. 3). In contrast, R2 would phosphorylate Cdc20s1 monomer, and the phosphorylated Cdc20s1 may interact with particular cyclins to exert its function at each stage of the cell cycle (Fig. 3).

**Perspective**

Several cell cycle regulators of plants have been identified, thus we can use them as a tool to dissect the molecular processes that regulate the activation or inhibition of cell division. Cell differentiation should be controlled based on a balance with cell
division to accomplish proper development of organs. Therefore, it is likely that CDK activity plays a major role in determination of cell fate in meristems. In other words, CDK activity must be tightly regulated by a signaling cascade that perceives environmental conditions and/or phyto-hormones. Post-translational regulation of cell cycle actors enables plant cells to flexibly control the CDK activity in response to internal or external stimuli. Thus, to identify the molecular mechanisms underlying meristem functions, factors involved in CDK regulation must be characterized biochemically, and the function of such factors should be carefully analyzed by manipulating their activities in vivo using transgenic techniques. This approach will facilitate our understanding of any cross talk between signals controlling cell division and cell differentiation, and a key element will be identified with the help of Arabidopsis genetics that characterize mutants with defects in meristem activity. Such an effort should highlight the fundamental difference between animals and plants in terms of totipotency of cells.

**Acknowledgments**

I am grateful to Prof. Hirofumi Uchimiya (The University of Tokyo) and Dr. Csaba Koncz (Max-Planck-Institut für Züchtungsforschung) for their helpful discussions and continuous encouragement. I also thank Mr. Masatoshi Yamaguchi and Mrs. Chikage Umeda-Hara (The University of Tokyo) for their valuable collaboration and discussions. A part of this work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research for the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture of Japan, and by a grant from the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries of Japan.

**References**


Fobert, P.R., Coen, E.S., Murphy, G.J.P., Doonan, J.H., 1994. Patterns of cell division revealed by transcriptional regulation of genes during the cell cycle in plants. EMBO J., 13: 616–624.

Fobert, P.R., Gaudin, V., Lunnnes, P., Coen, E.S., Doonan, J.H., 1996. Distinct classes of cdc2–related genes are differentially expressed during the cell division cycle in plants. Plant Cell, 8: 1465–1476.


sequence of rice (Oryza sativa L.) cDNA homologous to cdc2 gene. DNA Sequence, 5: 125 - 129.


Sauter, M., Mekhedov, S.L., Kende, H., 1995. Gibberellin promotes hisione H1 kinase activity and the expression of cdc2 and cyclin genes during the induction of rapid


